

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,321	09/26/2003	Shinji Okamori	0925-0207P	5084
2292	7590 09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
171225 611	onton, 111 22 010 0111		2629	
	•		DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/670,321	OKAMORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	— s action is non-final.					
<u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 4,6 and 8 is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` '''	ved.				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/23/03</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been examined. The claims 1-8 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior art of figure 11 (admitted by applicant) in view of Mizouchi (US 6,614,597).

As to claim 1, Prior art of figure 11, admitted by applicant discloses a projection type display apparatus comprising: a light source (100); a liquid crystal light valve for modulating light emitted from the light source (100) by turning a light polarization (see S and P polarization in the specification page 3, lines 5-9) plane of the light; a projection lens for projecting the light modulated by the liquid crystal light valve onto a projection surface.

However, Prior art of figure 11, does not disclose a pivot able light polarizer arranged between the light source and the liquid crystal valve.

Masochist. discloses in figs. 1-3, an illumination apparatus and projection exposure apparatus comprising a pivotable light (see movement of the optical pipe 6, and the incident light is illuminated onto as board an area as possible of the light incident surface 6a of the optical board, see col. 6, lines 60-64, and col. 7, lines 23-33) and arranged between the light source and driving means 7(see fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the pivot light as taught by Mizouchi into the system of Prior art of fig.

11 admitted by applicant having the arrangement of the light source and the liquid crystal valve for producing the claimed invention because this would provide the incident light is illuminated onto as board an area as possible of the light incident surface of the optical pipe, so that the light intensity on the light incident surface may be decreased to prevent the glass material from deteriorating (see col. 6, lines 60-65).

As to claim 2, Prior art of fig. 11, discloses the projection-type display apparatus as recited in claim 1, further comprising a polarization-conversion element (115) arranged between the light source (100) and the light polarizer, for emitting light after orientating the polarization plane of the light emitted from the light source into a single direction.

As to claim 3, Prior art of fig. 11, discloses further, a first lens array (112) including a plurality of lenses, arranged between the light source (100) and the polarization-conversion element (115), for splitting light emitted from the light source into a plurality of partial beams; and a second lens array (113) including a plurality of lenses, arranged between the first lens (112) and the polarization-conversion element (115), for approximately condensing onto the polarization-conversion element the plurality of partial beams split by the first lens array.

As to claim 5, Prior art of fig. 11, discloses further, wherein the light polarizer is arranged in the vicinity of the polarization-conversion element (115).

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior art of figure 11 (admitted by applicant) in view of Liang et al. (US 2003/0206337).

Figure 11 of prior art, admitted by applicant discloses a projection type display apparatus comprising: a light source (100); a liquid crystal light valve for modulating light emitted from the light source (100) by turning a light polarization (see S and P polarization in the specification page 3, lines 5-9) plane of the light; a projection lens for projecting the light modulated by the liquid crystal light valve onto a projection surface as discussed above.

Page 4

However prior art of fig. 11 does not disclose that wherein the light polarizer is a grid polarizer, comprising a base material made of a dielectric in parallel-plate form, and a plurality of thin linear elements arranged on the surface of the base material at a predetermined spacing from each other.

Liang et al. discloses in fig. 1, a project system having a light polarizer (wire grid polarizer18) is a grid polarizer, comprising a base material may be made of glass-based polarization devices, and having an inherent plurality of thin-linear elements arranged on the surface of the base material at a predetermined spacing from each other (see 0053,0054).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the wire grid polarizer made of glass-based polarization device as taught by Liang et al. into the system of Prior art for producing the claimed invention because this would provide the wire-grid polarizers to be able to with-stand harsh condition of light intensity, temperature, and vibration and provide a higher numerical aperture than is available using conventional glass polarization beamsplitters (see 0053).

Application/Control Number: 10/670,321 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4, 6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the cited art teaches or suggests that a projection-type display apparatus comprising a columnar light guide, arranged between the light source and the polarization-conversion element, having an incident end for receiving light emitted from the light source, and an emitting end for emitting the light as a planar light source; and a lens system, arranged between the light guide and the polarization-conversion element, for approximately condensing onto the polarizationconversion element light emitted from the emitting end of the light guide as claim 4; a color composition unit arranged between the liquid crystal light valve and the projection lens, for composing the three colors of light modulated by the liquid crystal light valve; wherein the light polarizer is arranged between the color separator and the liquid crystal light valve in a light path of at least one of the three colors of light emitted from the color separator as claim 6; or a determination unit for determining from said average and said peak luminance values frame-by frame whether to alter luminance value, and outputting the determination results to the liquid crystal driving unit; wherein the polarizer driving unit determines pivotal angle for the drives the light polarizer based on said average and said peak luminance values, and the liquid crystal driving unit drives the liquid crystal light valve based on said determination results as claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,321 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kimnlung Nanusm
Kimnhung Nguyen
Patent Examiner

September 1, 2006